J				
1	Michael D. Kinkley			
2	Scott M. Kinkley			
2	Michael D. Kinkley, P.S.			
3	4407 N. Division, Suite 914 Spokane, WA 99207			
4	(509) 484-5611			
5	mkinkley@qwestoffice.net			
	skinkley@qwestoffice.net			
6	W. 1 D W.11			
7	Kirk D. Miller Kirk D. Miller P.S			
8	Kirk D. Miller, P.S. 209 E. Sprague Ave.			
	Spokane, WA 99202			
9	(509) 413-1494			
10	kmiller@millerlawspokane.com			
11	LIMITED OT ATEC DIOTRICT COLUDT			
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON			
12	TOR THE LASTERY DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON			
13	KELLI GRAY, and all others similarly			
14	situated,			
15	Plaintiff,	Case No.: CV-09-251-EFS		
13	Fiamum,)		
16	V	MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF		
17		PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR		
18	SUTTELL & ASSOCIATES;	EXTENSION OF TIME TO		
10	MIDLAND FUNDING, LLC; MARK	RESPOND TO THE SUTTELL DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR		
19	T. CASE, and JANE DOE CASE, husband and wife, KAREN HAMMER	SUMMARY JUDGMENT RE:		
20	and JOHN DOE HAMMER, wife and	ATTORNEY FEES AND TO		
21	husband.	CONTINUE HEARING		
21				
22	Defendants.	,		
23				
24				
24				
25				
	A FEL COD A VIDA A DA CA INDOODE OF	10 1 1 D W 11 D		

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME AND TO CONTINUE HEARING Michael D. Kinkley P.S. 4407 N. Division, Suite 914. Spokane, WA 99207 (509) 484-5611

1	The Plaintiff requires an extension of time to fully respond to the Suttell
2	Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment Re: Attorney Fees ¹ . On July 21, 2010,
3 4	the Suttell Defendants filed their Motion for Summary Judgment Re: Attorney
5	Fees. (Ct. Rec. 44). Plaintiff intends to file a cross motion on the same issues (state
6	court attorney fees as a FDCPA violation). Judicial economy would dictate that it
7	would be more efficient to consider both the Suttell Defendants' and the Plaintiff's
8 9	Motion for Summary Judgment (regarding State Court Attorney Fees as an
10	FDCPA violation) at the same time. On August 3, 2010, Plaintiff deposed
11	Defendant Karen Hammer. On August 4, 2010, Plaintiff deposed Suttell employee
12	Tu Uyen Huynh. The deposition transcripts of Karen Hammer and Tu Uyen Huynh
13 14	will not be available until August 17, 2010 due to the vacation of the court
15	reporter. Plaintiff needs the transcripts of the depositions of Karen Hammer and Tu
16	Uyen Huynh in order to adequately respond to the Defendants' Motion.
17 18	An extension is further warranted because the Defendants have not
19	adequately responded to the majority of the Plaintiff's written discovery requests
20	salient to the pending Summary Judgment Motion. See Motion to Compel (Ct.
21	
22 23	¹ In compliance with the scheduling order, and to the extent Plaintiff's Motion to
24	Extend is denied, Plaintiff has filed a Response based on the information available.
25	(Ct. Rec. 55).

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME AND TO CONTINUE HEARING

Michael D. Kinkley P.S. 4407 N. Division, Suite 914. Spokane, WA 99207 (509) 484-5611 1

3

4

5

7

8

Q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

2425

Rec. 82). The Plaintiff has filed a Motion to Compel to be heard September 9, 2010 to address those concerns. Id.

The clerk has identified October 20, 2010 at 1:30 P.M. (in Richland, Washington with Oral Argument) as an available hearing date for Plaintiff's Motions for Summary Judgment. However, the Suttell Defendant's attorney has now indicated he is unavailable on that date. The next available court date for hearing with oral argument is apparently in Spokane on November 17, 2010. It would seem to make sense to continue all pending motions and the Plaintiff's Motion (to be filed) for Summary Judgment regarding Attorney Fees to that date.

The pending discovery is likely to uncover material facts relevant to the Defendants Motion Re: Attorney Fees (FRCP 56 (f)(2)). The Plaintiff should be allowed to complete the pending discovery before responding.

Therefore, the Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court extend the time for Plaintiff to respond to the Suttell Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment Re: Attorney Fees to September 21, 2010² (the same date Defendant's Response to Plaintiff's Motion would be due for the Summary Judgment Motion to be timely filed by the Plaintiff to be heard November 17, 2010) and continue the hearing

² Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Responses to the written discovery is set for September 9, 2010.

1	(currently set for September 9, 2010 at 2:30 p.m.) to November 17, 2010 to be	
2	heard in conjunction with other pending motions.	
3	DATED this the 11 th day of August 2010.	
4 DATED this the 11 day of August 2010.	BITTED and the II day of Hagast 2010.	
5	Michael D. Kinkley P.S.	
6	g/Michael D. Kinkley	
7	s/Michael D. Kinkley Michael D. Kinkley WSBA # 11624	
8	MSBA # 11624 Attorney for Plaintiff 4407 N. Division, Suite 914	
9	Spokane, WA 99207 (509) 484-5611	
10	Spokane, WA 99207 (509) 484-5611 Fax: (509) 484-5972 mkinklev@awestoffice.net	
11	mikinkie v @ qwestomiee.net	
12		
13		
14 15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME AND TO CONTINUE HEARING - 4

Michael D. Kinkley P.S. 4407 N. Division, Suite 914. Spokane, WA 99207 (509) 484-5611

1	CM/ECF CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2	I hereby certify that on the 11 th day of August, 2010, I electronically filed the		
3	foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF System which will send		
4	notification of such filing to the following:		
5			
6	Michael D. Kinkley	mkinkley@qwestoffice.net, pleadings@qwestoffice.net	
7	Scott M. Kinkley	skinkley@qwestoffice.net;	
8	Kirk D. Miller	kmiller@millerlawspokane.com	
9	Carl Hueber	ceh@winstoncashatt.com;	
10	John D. Munding	munding@crumb-munding.com	
11			
12	Michael D. Kinkley P.S.		
13	s/Michael D. Kinkley		
14	Michael D. Kinkley WSBA # 11624		
15	Attorney for Plaintiffs 4407 N. Division, Suite 914		
16		Spokane, WA 99207 (509) 484-5611	
17		mkinkley@qwestoffice.net	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22 23			
23			
25			
23			
	Ī		